

DECLARATION REQUESTING RESPONSE WITH SPECIFIC FACTS  
[COUNTY CLERK/REGISTRAR FOR HIS/HER PERSONAL ACTIONS]

To: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_, CA \_\_\_\_\_

I, \_\_\_\_\_, the undersigned, hereinafter, "Affiant,"

domiciled at: \_\_\_\_\_

make this Declaration, and I hereby affirm, declare and swear, under my oath and under the pains and penalties of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and of this state, that I am of legal age and of sound mind and hereby attest that the statements, averments, claims, charges and information contained in this Declaration are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

I ask you to read this document with an open and receptive mind even if you ultimately conclude that you disagree with me. I also request that, on those points where we disagree, you provide a written response within ten (10) calendar days in order to open a dialogue that will, hopefully, lead to a resolution acceptable to both of us. Of course, if you agree with me on any point or points, I request the courtesy of a reply saying you agree with me.

**ASSUMPTIONS THAT UNDERGIRD THE STATEMENTS IN THIS DOCUMENT:**

Our governments at all levels were always intended to be and remain governments of Limited Powers. All governmental powers are inherent in the individual, and individuals, as a group, delegate *some* of those inherent powers to State and to the Local government. Some of those powers are then delegated to the Federal Government.

What is significant about the individual's delegation of powers to Federal, State, and Local government is that the individual still maintains a share of the powers he or she delegated to the government. Thus, the American Constitutional Republic is still guided by "We the People."

Key to "We the People" retaining their share of the delegated powers is that those in government exercising those powers must understand they exercise any power on behalf of "We the People," and be above reproach in administering their delegated powers fairly and even-handedly. This is especially true regarding voting. "We the People" must have confidence that both their votes and the votes of the opposition are fairly and accurately counted. *Do you agree?*

**SIGNIFICANCE OF THESE FOUNDATIONAL TRUTHS IN MY REQUEST TO YOU:**

The significance of America being a Constitutional Republic is that the Constitution is the Supreme Law of this Land. Any purported "law," statute, code, regulation, rule or policy, made consistently with the enumerated powers of the Constitution are presumed valid. In contrast, any purported law, statute, code, regulation, rule, mandate or policy, which opposes and/or violates the Constitution in any way, whatsoever, is unlawful, null and void. *No bureaucrat, politician, or person acting in an official governmental capacity has any legal*

*authority to restrict the Constitutional rights of any law-abiding citizen, nor alter the count of the citizen's votes. Do you agree?*

Also foundational to our Constitutional Republic is that the process of voting must be free, fair, and accurate. *Do you agree?*

The 2020 Presidential Election and all elections since then were touted by governments at all levels as free, fair, and the most secure elections in history. Since November, 2020, little-by-little, the evidence has come out that these elections were not free, nor fair, nor secure.

For example, every county registrar in every county in the country represented that the voting machines were not connected to the Internet. Since then, it has been universally admitted that the voting machines were connected to the Internet either by cable or by WiFi. While counties maintain a log of those who physically access the individual machines in person, there is no record of unauthorized access of the machines using WiFi during non-business hours nor while actually counting votes. *Do you agree?*

- (1) Since before the November, 2020 elections, Registrars of Voters in each county nationwide represented the voting machines could not be, and were not, "hacked." *Did you or the Registrar of Voters in your County ever make such a representation to anyone at any time? If so, to whom and when?*
- (2) Are you aware that in the Federal District Court in Georgia there is a case named *Curling et.al. v Brad Raffensperger et.al.* #1:17-cv-102989-AT? Brad Raffensperger is the Secretary of State for Georgia.
- (3) Are you aware that during the trial in the above-named case, University of Michigan professor Dr. J. Alex Halderman was able to hack an electronic voting machine with only a ball-point pen and a card and alter the vote totals in open court as the judge watched?
- (4) Are you aware that the machine hacked by Dr. Halderman is the same type of voting machine used in this County?
- (5) Georgia Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger has jointly met with the California Secretary of State Shirley N. Weber and Registrars of Voters from all California Counties.
  - a. *Did you participate in any way in this meeting?*
  - b. Are you willing to discuss with me exactly what was discussed in that/those meeting(s)?
- (6) Are there any memos and/or E-Mails that mention the meeting mentioned in #(5), what was discussed, what, if anything was decided, any tasks assigned, and or any plan of action?
  - a. Are you willing to disclose any such memos and E-Mails by this demand for information and without order of any court?
- (7) Are you aware in the Federal and State laws there is something called Racketeering Influenced Corrupt Organizations [RICO]?
  - a. Are you aware that voters in this County, generally, and me, specifically, have been damaged by the collusion between Mr. Raffensperger, California Secretary of State Weber and one or more Registrars of Voters concerning what we now know as a false narrative of the alleged integrity of the elections

from November, 2020 to present? [See *Mosier v Southern Cal. Physicians Ins. Exchange* (1998) 63 Cal.App.4<sup>th</sup> 1022, 1048].

I respectfully request you send a written response to me, addressing each and every issue raised herein within ten (10) calendar days.

If, for any reason, you fail to respond as requested to the issues below, your failure may well be regarded in any future legal or political proceeding as a tacit admission that the allegations are true and correct [Please see *U.S. v. Tweel*, 550 F. 2d. 297: "Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading."]

**APPLYING THE FOUNDATIONAL CONCEPTS TO THE ISSUES AT HAND:**

For the reasons set forth herein, and based on the published news from many sources, I believe I have clearly demonstrated how my rights have been violated by your office and possibly you, yourself. Such action has violated the Federal and State Constitutions and the oath of office you and every member of your office has taken. Your actions as set forth herein have acted against the public good by violating the public trust and warring against the Constitution.

Our Founding Fathers knew that government serves *with the consent of the governed*. **I do not consent** to any unconstitutional order by any politician or any bureaucrat at any level of government, Federal, State, or Local especially as it pertains to the use of electronic voting machines. Further, no politician or bureaucrat can lawfully change my vote, or the aggregate votes of the candidate for whom I have voted. *Do you agree?*

To continue violating the Constitutionally guaranteed rights of each member of the public without their knowing and informed consent is truly outside the boundaries of our National and State Constitutional law. The same can be said of not counting or changing the citizen's votes and/or changing the aggregate votes that the citizens voted for.

The damages claimed herein are an unlimited civil case if a lawsuit were instituted. RICO law says individuals who conspire to abridge another's rights can be personally liable to pay damages.

If you disagree with anything stated in this notice, then please respond to me with the specifics of your disagreement. Any un rebutted point raised herein may well be regarded by any court of law as an admission by you.

Yours truly,

\_\_\_\_\_  
Declarant

Date: \_\_\_\_\_, 2024